Dinosaurs belong in museums...not in your production line.
Machinability Test #1
DC53 v D2 Test Report

• Comparative Machining Data between DC53 and D2 steel

• Machine Used: Okuma CNC Vertical Milling center (MC-V4020)
# DC53 v D2 Test Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool Type</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Coating</th>
<th>Geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nachi SG ESS Drill</td>
<td>1/4&quot; (6.35 mm)</td>
<td>Composite Multilayer</td>
<td>SG Coating (TiCN) (3 rake, 2 rake, x-thinning)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nachi AG Roughing End Mill</td>
<td>1/2&quot; (12.7mm)</td>
<td>Composite Multilayer</td>
<td>AG Coating (TiAIN)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- **Milling Conditions**: Dia. of Mill: 12.7mm (.5\"") Speed: 25 m/min (626 RPM) Feed: 110mm/min (4.33 IPM) Material: DC53 Coolant: Dry Machining

  \[
  \begin{align*}
  aa &= 1.0 \times D = 12.7\text{mm (}.5\"") \\
  ar &= .5 \times D = 6.35\text{mm (}.25\"")
  \end{align*}
  \]
DC53 v D2 Test Report

- **Milling Conditions**: Dia. of Mill: 12.7mm (.5”)
  Speed: 25 m/min (626 RPM) Feed: 110mm/min (4.33 IPM) Material: D2 Coolant: Dry Machining

  \[ aa = 1.0 \times D = 12.7\text{mm (.5")} \]
  \[ ar = 0.5 \times D = 6.35\text{mm (.25")} \]
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Comparative Tool Wear Chart after 4m length of Cut:
DC53 v D2 Test Report

• Analysis:
  Upon inspecting the cutting edges of both end mills under microscope there is little wear on the end mill used to machine DC53 while there is extreme wear on the end mill used to machine D2, in spite of the machining and clamping conditions being identical.

Thus, DC53 because of the perfectly spheroidized primary carbides in its structure has better machinability.
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- **Drilling Conditions:**
  - Dia. Of Drill: ¼" (6.35mm) (SG-ESS Drill)
  - Speed: 29.52m/min (750 RPM)
  - Feed: .0053 IPR (4 IPM)
  - Drill Depth: .5" (12.7mm)
  - No. Of Holes: 110
  - Material: DC53
  - Coolant: Water Soluble

- **Drilling Conditions:**
  - Dia. Of Drill: ¼" (6.35mm) (SG-ESS Drill)
  - Speed: 29.52m/min (750 RPM)
  - Feed: .0053 IPR (4 IPM)
  - Drill Depth: .5" (12.7mm)
  - No. Of Holes: 110
  - Material: D2
  - Coolant: Water Soluble
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Comparative Tool Wear Chart after 110 Holes:
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Analysis:
Upon inspecting the Drill point edges of both drills under microscope – little wear on cutting edge is evident on the drill used to machine DC53 – while there is significant wear on the cutting edge on the drill used to machine D2, thus further emphasizing that DC53 has better machinability than D2.
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Conclusive Result:
DC53 is superior to D2 in machinability.

Therefore, the use of DC53 is expected to provide relatively longer tool life and reduces the machining time in various applications.
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Conclusive Result (continued):

With DC53 you should experience a minimum of a 20-30% reduction in machining time, when compared to D2. But as a rule of thumb, start by increasing surface speed by 20% over what you use for D-2. When you are satisfied with surface speed, increase feed rates by 10% increments or depth of cut by 10% increments. You will find the combination that best suits you equipment and conditions.
Dinosaurs belong in museums...not in your production line.